

Being an informed consumer, I know a little bit about the airwaves. I know what is regulated and what is not. I know PUBLIC airwaves are to serve the PUBLIC interest. The Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air a one-sided "documentary" with obvious benefits for one candidate for the upcoming presidential election is NOT in the public interest given the deep political/ideological divisions in our nation.

I've never supported large corporate consumption of media networks (ie., Sinclair owns 62 stations, or 25% across the U.S.) and this is exactly why. Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. Thank you.

[SIDE NOTE] I'm a HUGE Simpsons fan and until yesterday, enjoy catching old episodes on our local SB/Fox station WMSN 47. However, after reading about Sinclair's decision, we've successfully removed channel 47 from our TV - never to watch again. I have the first 5 seasons on DVD and that will just have to do.

Matt Eberly